Journal of Organometallic Chemistry, 139 (1977) 279–282 © Elsevier Sequoia S.A., Lausanne – Printed in The Netherlands

# INTRAMOLECULAR MOBILITY OF PENTACOORDINATED TIN COMPOUNDS

#### K. JURKSCHAT, C. MÜGGE, A. TZSCHACH, A. ZSCHUNKE,

Department of Chemistry, Martin-Luther-University, Halle-Wittenberg (D.D.R.)

### M.F. LARIN, V.A. PESTUNOVICH and M.G. VORONKOV

(Received May 16th, 1977)

#### Summary

The <sup>119</sup>Sn NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data are reported for *N*-alkyl-5,5-di-t-butyldiptychoxazstannolidines. The activation parameters of an intramolecular process are estimated from the temperature dependence of the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra in different polar solvents. The NMR data support the dissociation—inversion mechanism for this process.

We propose for N-alkyl-5,5-di-t-butyldiptychoxazstannolidines I-V a struc-

 $R \xrightarrow{CH_2} O C(CH_3)_3 \qquad (I, R = H ; II, R = Me;$  II, R = n-Bu; IV, R = i-Bu; $CH_2 O C(CH_3)_3 \qquad V, R = t-Bu;$ 

ture which has nearly a trigonal bipyramidal arrangement of the ligands around the tin atom [1]. However a small distortion of the bonding towards a square pyramid (with oxygen at the top) is possible [2]. The temperature dependence of the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra provides a method of investigating the intramolecular exchange of these ligands. At 32°C the signal of the t-butyl protons is a singlet with <sup>119</sup>Sn and <sup>117</sup>Sn satellites. At low temperatures the signal turns into two signals of equal intensity. The process which makes both groups equivalent can be an intramolecular exchange of groups by Berry-pseudorotation [3] or by turnstile-rotation [4].



Fig. 1. Possible structure of N-alkyl-5,5-di-t-butyldiptychoxazstannolidines and a graph of these structures. related by Berry-pseudorotation.

Figure 1 shows, that an intramolecular exchange of the two t-butyl groups A and B by Berry-pseudorotation passes through the isomeric forms 6-5-3-2 or 7-4-3-2. Both pathways include structures of very high energy (ring-strain, steric hindrance). Similar consideration of the turnstile-rotation leads to the same result. Thus these processes are of low probability.

We propose instead a dissociation—inversion mechanism [1]. While the tinnitrogen bond is broken the substituent at nitrogen changes its position by nitrogen inversion. This mechanism is supported by the estimation of the <sup>119</sup>Sn-chemical shifts by means of the heteronuclear double resonance (INDOR technique).

The <sup>119</sup>Sn-chemical shifts for I and II (Table 1) differ from those of the n-Bu<sub>2</sub>Sn(OEt)<sub>2</sub> spectrum [5] by about 50 ppm. These results may probably be attributed to the effect of the replacement of two n-butyl radicals by two t-butyl groups in these molecules, rather than to a change in the coordination number of their Sn atoms. Indeed, the same difference in nuclear shieldings is observed for n-Bu<sub>2</sub>SnCl<sub>2</sub> ( $\delta$ (<sup>119</sup>Sn) 122 ppm) and t-Bu<sub>2</sub>SnCl<sub>2</sub> ( $\delta$ (<sup>119</sup>Sn) 52 ppm) [6]. Since n-Bu<sub>2</sub>Sn(OEt)<sub>2</sub> (which is dimeric in solution [5]) is 5-coordinate I and II must

TABLE 1

<sup>119</sup>Sn NMR CHEMICAL SHIFTS  $\delta$  (ppm) (reference: Sn(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>, positive sign at low field shift.)

Compound	Solvent CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	Solvent (CD <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> CO	Tempera- ture ( <sup>0</sup> C)	empera- Solvent ire ( <sup>0</sup> C) CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>		Temperature ( <sup>°</sup> C)	
I	-209.5	-210.5	+32	214 213	213 215	40 40	
II	-205	204	+32	207 207	207 207	-40 -40	

280

TABLE 2 <sup>13</sup>C NMR-CHEMICAL SHIFTS  $\delta$  (ppm) IN

(Reference: TMS, positive sign at low field shift)

Compound	C(1)	C(2)	C(3)	C(4)	C(5)	C(6)	C(7)	C(8)
I	30.4	37.5	60.3	51.7				
II	30.4	37.7	62.0	57.5	39.5			
111	30.6	39.0	57.5	56.1	46.4	22.5	20.6	13.7
IV	30.5	38.2	57.5	56.4	54.2	23.3	20.6	
. <b>v</b>	30.3	38.2	66.7	53.5	55.3	26.8		· · · · ·

also be 5-coordinate. However, the existence of the spin coupling between <sup>119</sup>Sn and <sup>1</sup>H nuclei in the Sn  $\leftarrow$  N—CH<sub>3</sub> fragment of II [1] and a weak dependence of the Sn-chemical shifts upon the solvent in I and II excludes an association process for these molecules. Therefore the pentacoordination of Sn atoms in these molecules must come from intramolecular Sn–N bonds. At low temperatures two signals of the t-butyl groups appear in <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of I and II. However, monitoring the <sup>119</sup>Sn satellites of both lines in each proton spectrum gives us the same <sup>119</sup>Sn-chemical shifts in <sup>1</sup>H—{<sup>119</sup>Sn} INDOR spectra for species I and II as well. That is, the <sup>119</sup>Sn signals resulting from molecules of the same kind and the nonequivalence of t-butyl protons are not caused by different conformers of I and II, but are due to the anisochronism of these groups in their sole conformer 1 (Fig. 1).

The chemical shifts of the <sup>13</sup>C signals (Table 2) of the nuclei C(3) and C(4) in compound III in comparison to compound IV show a steric compression shift caused by the t-butyl group. Table 3 shows the solvent dependence of the activation parameters: free activation enthalpy  $\Delta G_c^*$  at the coalescence temperature  $T_c$ , activation enthalpy  $\Delta H^*$  and Arrhenius' activation energy  $E_a$  of the compounds I and II. These parameters are estimated by measurement of the temperature dependent line-shape of the t-butyl-proton signals using approximative equations and total line-shape analysis [8].

In comparison with the  $\Delta G^*$  values, the  $\Delta H^*$  and  $E_a$  values are considerably greater. This can be explained by the easier conformational mobility of the eightmembered ring and thus the lower degree of regularity of the transition state. In the CDCl<sub>3</sub> solution of compound I this effect is apparently lost because of the formation of a N-H…N-hydrogen bond in the transition state.

TABLE 3

ACTIVATION PARAMETERS IN DIFFERENT SOLVENTS (kcal/mol) (error  $\Delta G_c^{\pm} \pm 1$  kcal/mol, error  $\Delta H^{\pm}$  and  $E_a \pm 3$  kcal/mol)

Compound	$\Delta G_{c}^{*}$	<i>Т</i> <sub>с</sub> (К)	<b>Δ</b> Η <sup>★</sup>	Ea	Solvent	
I	15.2 15.9	284 297	11.6 22.6	12.2 23.2	CDCl <sub>3</sub> (CD <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> CO	
II	14.9 15.0	283 286	20.9 21.9	21.6 22.5	CDCl <sub>3</sub> (CD <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> CO	: -
	15.0	286	21.9	22.5	(CD <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> CO	-

281

# Experimental

The NMR data listed in Table 1 were obtained by the  ${}^{1}H{-}{{}^{119}Sn}$ -INDOR method using a Tesla BS-487C (80 MHz for  ${}^{1}H$ ) spectrometer fitted with a universal device for heteronuclear double resonance [7].

The <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra (Table 2) were recorded at 22.63 MHz with broad-bandproton decoupling on a Bruker HFX-90 R spectrometer. The *N*-alkyl-5,5-di-tbutyldiptychoxazstannolidines were synthesized by the same method [1].

### References

- 1 A. Zschunke, A. Tzschach and K. Jurkschat, J. Organometal. Chem. 112 (1976) 273.
- 2 E.L. Muetterties and L.J. Guggenberger, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 96 (1974) 1748.
- 3 R.S. Berry, J. Chem. Phys., 32 (1960) 933.
- 4 P. Gillespie, P. Hoffmann, H. Klusacek, D. Marquarding, S. Pfohl, F. Ramirez, E.A. Tsolis and I. Ugi, Angew. Chem., 83 (1971) 691.
- 5 P.J. Smith, R.F.M. White and L. Smith, J. Organometal. Chem., 40 (1972) 341.
- 6 J.D. Kennedy and W. McFarlane, Rev. Silicon, Germanium, Tin and Lead Compounds, 1 (1974) 235.
- 7 M.F. Larin and V.A. Pestunovich, NMR Technical and Application Bulletin, Tesla Brno, No. 9 (1976) p. 1-7.
- 8 G. Binsch. J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 91 (1969) 1304.